UNIAFRICA JOURNAL OF EDUCATION (OCTOBER, 2026), VOLUME 5, ISSUE 4.
ISSN: 2971-6004
https://www.uniafricajournalofeducation.com

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY PRACTICES IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS: IMPLICATIONS FOR STAFF WELL-BEING AND EDUCATIONAL OUTCOMES

DESTINY WOKO
Department of Curriculum Studies and Instructional Technology,
Faculty of Education, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
E-mail: destiny.woko@iaue.edu.ng
08037352534.

IKECHUKWU UKEJE
Department of Curriculum Studies and Instructional Technology,
Faculty of Education, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
E-mail: iykeukeje@gmail.com
08063749929.

Abstract

Occupational health and safety (O.H.S) in educational institutions have received comparatively lesser attention than occupational safety in industrial and high-risk work environments, despite the complex physical, psychosocial and organizational risks encountered by teachers and other school personnel. Educational institutions constitute workplaces where staff are exposed to a range of hazardous condition, which includes inadequate infrastructure, overcrowded classrooms, poor ventilation, ergonomic problems, electrical hazards, infectious diseases, workplace violence, excessive workload and psychosocial stress. These conditions have implications not only for employees' health and well-being, but also for their effectiveness, job satisfaction, attendance, productivity and the quality of educational outcomes. This Paper examines occupational health and safety practices in educational institutions and considers their implications for staff well-being and educational outcomes. The paper adopts a conceptual review approach, drawn from relevant literature on occupational health, workplace safety, teacher well-being, organizational climate and educational effectiveness, it also highlights occupational risk assessment, health promotion, emergency preparedness, and safe physical environments, ergonomic practices, psychosocial support, staff training and institutional safety policies as essential components of effective OHS management. The paper argues that occupational health and safety should not be treated as an administrative requirement but as an integral component of educational quality and institutional effectiveness. It recommends stronger implementation of OHS policies, periodic workplace risk assessments, staff training, improved infrastructure, accessible health services, psychosocial support systems and stronger institutional monitoring mechanisms.

Keywords: Educational Institutions, Occupational Health and Safety (OHS), Staff Well-Being, Teachers, Workplace Hazards

Introduction

Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) has become an important component of effective organizational management because the quality of the working environment can significantly influence employees' health, productivity and overall well-being. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines occupational health

as an area of public health concerned with promoting and maintaining the highest degree of physical, mental and social well-being of workers in all occupations. It also emphasizes the improvement of working conditions and the working environment to make them conducive to health and safety. Similarly, the International Labor Organization (ILO, 2014) regards occupational safety and health as being concerned with protecting workers from harm, preventing occupational injuries and illnesses, and ensuring that workers can perform their duties in safety and dignity.

Educational institutions constitute important workplaces in which occupational health and safety deserve systematic attention. Schools, colleges and universities bring together teachers, administrators, technical personnels, cleaners, laboratory workers, security personnel and other categories of staff who may be exposed to different physical, biological, chemical, ergonomic and psychosocial hazards. The ILO specifically recognizes education and research as a sector requiring occupational safety and health measures and identifies relevant international standards for protecting workers in the sector. Thus, OHS in educational institutions should not be limited to preventing physical accidents; it should encompass the creation of working environments that promote physical health, psychological well-being, dignity and the capacity of staff to perform their professional responsibilities effectively. In the contemporary workplace, fostering employee well-being has emerged as a critical concern for educational institutions worldwide. As work environments evolve, integrating OSH practices into modern working policies has become increasingly vital. Organizations face mounting pressure to address not only the physical safety of their employees but also their mental and emotional well-being. This shift reflects a broader recognition of the complex interplay between workplace conditions and overall employee health and safety. Research indicates that poor occupational health and safety practices are linked to increased rates of work-related injuries, stress, and long-term health issues, which, in turn, have profound implications for productivity and organizational success (Smith et al., 2023; Jones & Brown, 2022).

Recent studies highlight that modern workplace, characterized by high demands, rapid technological advancements, and changing job roles, present unique challenges in maintaining employee well-being. For instance, the rise of remote work has introduced new dimensions of occupational health concerns, including isolation, work-life balance difficulties, and ergonomic issues (Williams & Green, 2024). Simultaneously, traditional educational institutions continue to grapple with hazards impacting physical safety, such as exposure to toxic substances and inadequate safety protocols (Lee et al., 2023). This evolving landscape necessitates a comprehensive approach to OSH that integrates contemporary challenges and promotes a holistic understanding of well-being in the workplace. As organizations strive to enhance their OSH policies, the need for evidence-based strategies that address both physical and mental health is becoming increasingly apparent. This paper explores the critical role of OSH in shaping modern working policies and its impact on employee well-being. By examining recent advancements, emerging trends, and their implications for educational practices, which aim to provide valuable insights for both researchers and practitioners committed to fostering healthier work environments. The importance of OHS is particularly evident in relation to staff well-being. Unsafe or unhealthy working environments may expose educational personnel to occupational injuries, illness, excessive workload, ergonomic problems, workplace violence, stress and other psychosocial risks. Conversely, appropriate safety policies, adequate facilities, effective risk management, health services and supportive organizational practices can contribute to healthier and more productive employees. The ILO describes occupational safety and health as a multidisciplinary field involving the anticipation, recognition, evaluation and control of workplace hazards that could impair workers' health and well-being.

The implications of OHS therefore extend beyond individual employees to the wider educational system. Effective OHS practices can contribute to staff attendance, morale, job satisfaction, commitment and sustained work performance, whereas poor working conditions may contribute to illness, absenteeism, reduced morale and diminished effectiveness. The ILO notes that preventive workplace measures and the participation of workers in occupational safety and health processes can be effective mechanisms for improving workplace health and working conditions. Consequently, investment in staff health and safety

may have broader implications for institutional productivity and educational outcomes. Despite the importance of OHS, to occupational health and safety in educational institutions may sometimes be overshadowed by concerns about curriculum implementation, students' academic achievement, infrastructure and administration. This creates a need for educational managers, policymakers and other stakeholders to recognize that staff welfare and educational quality are interconnected. A healthy institution requires not only appropriate instructional resources but also working conditions that protect the people responsible for delivering educational services. Against this background, this Paper examines Occupational Health and Safety Practices in Educational Institutions: Implications for Staff Well-Being and Educational Outcomes. The paper focuses on the nature of occupational health and safety practices in educational institution.

Conceptual Clarifications of Occupational Health and Safety

Occupational health and safety concern the promotion and maintenance of the highest attainable degree of physical, mental and social well-being of workers. It focuses not only on preventing occupational diseases and injuries but also on creating working conditions that support employee health and productive functioning.

Alli (2008) explains occupational health within the broader framework of occupational safety and health, emphasizing the protection of workers against occupational hazards and the prevention of work-related injuries and diseases. From this perspective, occupational health involves identifying potential hazards, assessing their effects and implementing appropriate measures to protect workers. Similarly, Goetsch (2011) presents occupational health and safety as a multidisciplinary field concerned with protecting workers from workplace hazards while promoting their health, safety and welfare. This perspective highlights the importance of combining knowledge from different disciplines to identify and control conditions that may negatively affect employees. According to Hughes and Ferrett (2016), occupational health forms an essential part of workplace health and safety management. It involves recognizing hazards that can affect workers' health, assessing the risks associated with those hazards and implementing appropriate measures to eliminate or reduce them. Their approach also recognizes the importance of both physical and psychological aspects of workers' health.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO, 2018) provides a management-system perspective through ISO 45001. Occupational health and safety concern the conditions and factors that can affect, or have the potential to affect, the health and safety of workers and other persons within the workplace. This perspective emphasizes systematic risk identification, prevention, worker participation and continual improvement of workplace health and safety performance. More recently, the World Health Organization (WHO, 2022) continues to emphasize that occupational health is concerned with promoting workers' physical and mental health, preventing occupational diseases and injuries, and improving working conditions. Contemporary occupational health therefore incorporates traditional workplace hazards as well as psychosocial risks, work-related stress and other factors that can influence employees' well-being. Based on the foregoing perspectives, occupational health may be conceptualized as the systematic process of promoting and maintaining workers' physical, mental and social well-being by preventing work-related injuries, diseases and psychosocial problems and by creating working conditions that support employees' health, safety and effective performance.

In the context of educational institutions, occupational health refers to the policies, programs and practices established to protect teachers and other staff from occupational hazards while promoting their physical, psychological and social well-being. Such practices may include adequate ventilation and lighting, ergonomic workstations, sanitation, clean water, health services, reasonable workloads, psychological support, emergency preparedness, fire safety, safe laboratories and mechanisms for addressing workplace stress and violence. The concept is important because the health of educational personnel can influence their attendance, morale, job satisfaction, commitment, productivity and effectiveness in teaching and

administration. Consequently, occupational health should be viewed not merely as a welfare issue but as an important element of institutional effectiveness and the achievement of educational objectives. WHO emphasizes that occupational health should address workplace conditions that may affect workers' health and should promote the adaptation of work to workers and workers to their jobs. In educational institutions, this implies designing work environments, workloads and organizational practices in ways that protect employees from avoidable harm. Occupational health in schools and universities therefore encompasses physical health, psychological health, social relationships and organizational conditions. A teacher experiencing chronic workload pressure, for example, may not have a physical injury but may nevertheless be experiencing an occupational health problem.

Concept of Occupational Health and Safety

According to Hughes and Ferrett (2016), occupational health and safety involve protecting workers from harm by identifying hazards, assessing risks and establishing appropriate control measures in the workplace. ISO 45001 (2018), provides a broader perspective, describing occupational health and safety in relation to the conditions and factors that can affect the health and safety of workers and other people associated with the workplace. Occupational health and safety refer to measures designed to prevent accidents, injuries and other forms of harm arising from workplace activities and conditions. Safety practices include hazard identification, risk assessment, provision of appropriate safety equipment, emergency preparedness, safe use of equipment and compliance with established safety procedures.

In educational institutions, safety responsibilities extend across the entire organization. Laboratories require appropriate chemical-handling procedures; workshops require equipment safety measures; classrooms require safe electrical installations and adequate ventilation; and all institutional buildings require emergency exits, fire-prevention systems and appropriate evacuation procedures.

Occupational Health and Safety in Educational Institutions

Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) management within educational settings represents a vital yet complex responsibility that demands systematic efforts to protect the physical, psychological, and social well-being of all members of the educational institutions. A safe and health-promoting school environment forms a cornerstone of a comprehensive school health framework. Alongside components such as health education, mental and social support services, and community engagement, OHS initiatives address not only the physical surroundings but also the psychosocial and learning environments that nurture the well-being of students, teachers, and staff. Effective OHS management in schools has been shown to enhance academic performance, reduce absenteeism, and improve both mental and physical health outcomes among learners. Beyond regulatory compliance, strong OHS practices foster trust within the school community, strengthen staff confidence, and cultivate a proactive safety culture that extends beyond the school boundaries. Conceptually, OHS in schools represents a multidimensional approach that integrates accident and health hazard prevention with the promotion of physical, emotional, and social well-being within a supportive learning context.

Despite these global efforts, insufficient OHS implementation in schools has been associated with higher rates of injuries and safety incidents among Learners. Educational institutions are complex workplaces, they contain classrooms, offices, laboratories, libraries, workshops, sports facilities, kitchens, hostels, maintenance areas and other spaces with different safety requirements. Consequently, OHS policies need to recognize the different risks associated with different categories of work. For academic staff, psychosocial and ergonomic risks may be particularly significant. Administrative personnel may experience prolonged computer use, repetitive tasks and workload pressure. Laboratory and technical personnel may encounter chemical, electrical or mechanical hazards. Cleaning personnel may be exposed to cleaning agents and biological contaminants. Security personnel may experience physical and psychological risks

associated with their responsibilities. An Effective institutional OHS system should therefore be comprehensive rather than limited to accident prevention.

Implications for Educational institutions

The findings of this review have several implications for teaching, learning and educational management such as the followings.

1. OHS should be incorporated into institutional strategic planning. Safety should not be treated as an isolated administrative function.
2. Educational leaders need to recognize psychosocial hazards as legitimate occupational health concerns. Workload management, staff support and healthy interpersonal relationships should therefore form part of institutional well-being policies.
3. Investment in infrastructure should incorporate safety considerations. Buildings, laboratories, offices, classrooms and recreational facilities should be regularly inspected and maintained.
4. Staff development programs should include occupational health and safety education. Employees need practical knowledge of how to identify and respond to workplace risks.
5. Institutions should develop mechanisms for monitoring OHS indicators such as accidents, injuries, staff absenteeism, reported hazards, safety training participation and employee well-being.

Theoretical Foundations

The study extends the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model and Social Exchange Theory which demonstrates how working policies serve as key resources that mitigate job demands and enhance employee well-being. The JD-R model emphasizes the importance of supportive work environments in reducing stress, while Social Exchange Theory explains the reciprocal relationship between employee well-being and organizational support. These theoretical insights provide a framework for understanding how various factors interact to influence employee outcomes. The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model developed by Demerouti et al. (2001) and further developed by Bakker and Demerouti (2007) provides a useful theoretical basis for understanding staff well-being in educational institutions. The model proposes that every occupation contains job demands and job resources. Job demands refer to aspects of work that require sustained physical or psychological effort, whereas job resources refer to aspects of work that help employees achieve their goals, reduce job demands and stimulate personal growth.

Within educational institutions, high teaching loads, large classes, administrative responsibilities, examination duties and inadequate working conditions may constitute job demands. Conversely, supportive leadership, adequate facilities, professional development, autonomy, recognition and access to health and safety resources constitute job resources. When job demands are consistently high and resources are inadequate, employees may experience exhaustion, stress and reduced engagement. Adequate organizational resources can protect staff against the negative effects of demanding work. The model therefore provides a useful explanation of how OHS practices may influence staff well-being and institutional outcomes.

Occupational Health and Safety Framework

Globally, the importance of safety and health in educational settings has gained increasing recognition. International initiatives such as the Comprehensive School Safety Framework 2022–2030 emphasize a participatory and risk-informed approach to protect learners and staff from harm, ensure educational continuity during crises, and build resilience through safety and health education. Similarly, international bodies (ISDR, UNICEF, & CECC, 2008) have promoted a “whole-school” approach that embeds health, safety, and well-being within school culture, management, and curriculum. In Europe, for example, the Community Strategy for Health and Safety at Work 2007–2012 also emphasized integrating OHS education into all levels of training, identifying young workers and students as particularly vulnerable groups

requiring focused attention. In-line with these efforts, the World Health Organization (WHO) and UNESCO have launched the global initiative "Making Every School a Health Promoting School", which establishes global standards to strengthen health and well-being in education systems worldwide, aiming to reach over 2.3 billion school-age children and contribute to the WHO's goal of making one billion lives healthier. The occupational health perspective also provides a useful framework for understanding the relationship between working conditions and employee health. It emphasizes prevention, health promotion, workplace adaptation and the interaction between workers and their work environments. Applied to educational institutions, this perspective suggests that staff health cannot be separated from institutional structures and working conditions. Consequently, improving employee well-being requires attention to both individual health behaviors and organizational factors.

Staff Well-Being and Job Performance

Employee well-being is closely connected with organizational functioning. The World Health Organization (2022) emphasizes that a healthy workplace should protect workers' physical and mental health while also creating conditions that support their well-being. Healthy employees are more likely to maintain consistent attendance, participate actively in institutional activities and perform their responsibilities effectively. Furthermore, employee well-being mediates the impact of working policies on organizational productivity, highlighting that healthier employees contribute to enhanced performance and organizational success. The positive impact of OHS on employee well-being highlights the need for educational institutions to prioritize safety and health as integral components of their operational strategy. Working policies moderate the relationship between OHS practices and employee well-being, particularly in modern work environments such as remote and hybrid work settings. This moderation effect is consistent with the Social Exchange Theory, which suggests that the quality of the work environment influences how employees perceive and respond to safety and health measures (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005). For instance, Harrison et al. (2022) found that remote work environments, when combined with supportive working policies, led to better integration of OHS practices and improved employee well-being. Additionally, employee well-being mediates the relationship between working policies and organizational productivity, reinforcing the notion that healthier employees are more productive and contribute to better organizational outcomes (Wang et al., 2023). This mediation effect emphasizes the role of employee well-being as a crucial factor in translating effective working policies and OHS practices into enhanced organizational performance.

In contrast, occupational illness, stress and dissatisfaction can contribute to absenteeism, reduced productivity and turnover intentions. For educational institutions, these effects may create disruptions in teaching, learner's support and administrative services.

Occupational Health and Safety and Educational Outcomes

Educational outcomes are influenced by multiple factors, including curriculum, instructional methods, leadership, resources, student characteristics and teacher quality. Staff well-being represents another important institutional factor. When teachers and lecturers work in safe and supportive environments, they are better positioned to prepare lessons, interact positively with learners, provide feedback and engage in professional activities. Consequently, OHS practices may have indirect effects on student learning through their influence on staff motivation, attendance, engagement and instructional effectiveness.

The relationship should not, however, be interpreted as a simple cause-and-effect process. OHS is one component of a broader institutional system. Its contribution to educational outcomes is likely to occur through multiple pathways involving staff health, morale, job satisfaction and organizational effectiveness. The relationship between safety and learning is particularly important because learners are more likely to engage positively in academic activities when they feel secure and supported. Kutsyuruba et al. (2015) describe school climate, safety, and well-being as important antecedents of academic achievement and student development. Similarly, Wang and Degol (2016) identify safety as one of the important dimensions

of school climate and explain that the broader school environment can influence student behavioral and academic outcomes. Erdem and Kaya (2024), in a meta-analysis of research on classroom and school climate, found relationships between school/classroom climate and academic achievement. Their findings suggest that the quality of the educational environment can have meaningful implications for students' academic performance.

Occupational Health and Safety as an Institutional Responsibility

Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) in educational settings is a vital responsibility that is often inconsistently implemented. There is a need for research to bridge the gap between policy and practice. Occupational health and safety should not be treated as the responsibility of individual employees alone. Institutional leadership has a major role in establishing policies, allocating resources, maintaining facilities and developing a safety culture. A strong safety culture exists where safety is incorporated into everyday institutional practices rather than addressed only after accidents occur. School leaders and university administrators should therefore demonstrate commitment to OHS through policy implementation, resource allocation and accountability. Moreover, OHS in educational institutions is often approached from a compliance-driven perspective, focusing primarily on meeting minimum regulatory requirements rather than embedding a commitment to health and safety as a core organizational value. This reactive stance limits the development of a proactive safety culture that emphasizes prevention, participation, and continuous improvement. Collectively, these findings highlight a systemic gap between policy intentions and practical implementation, underscoring the need for comprehensive governance frameworks, sustained leadership commitment, and context-specific strategies to institutionalize OHS within the educational sector.

Conclusion

Occupational health and safety practices are an essential component of effective educational administration and institutional sustainability. Educational institutions are not only centers for teaching and learning but also workplaces in which academic, non-academic and support staff are exposed to a range of physical, psychosocial, ergonomic, biological, financial, and environmental hazards. Consequently, the protection of staff health and safety should be regarded as an integral part of institutional management rather than as an issue that receives attention only when accidents or illnesses occur. The effective implementation of occupational health and safety measures can contribute to a safer working environment, improved staff morale, reduced absenteeism and greater commitment to institutional responsibilities (International Labour Organization [ILO], 2022; World Health Organization [WHO], 2022).

The discussion further establishes that staff well-being and educational outcomes are closely interconnected. When teachers and other employees work in environments characterized by inadequate facilities, excessive workload, poor ergonomics, occupational stress, insufficient safety measures or limited access to health services, their capacity to perform effectively may be compromised. Conversely, institutions that promote preventive health measures, appropriate workplace facilities, safety training, risk assessment, supportive leadership and psychosocial well-being are better positioned to sustain a healthy and productive workforce. The WHO (2022) emphasizes that a healthy working environment can support workers' physical and mental well-being while contributing to organizational effectiveness.

In educational institutions, the implications extend beyond individual staff members. Teachers' health, motivation and ability to perform their professional duties can influence classroom interaction, instructional quality, learner engagement and the overall achievement of educational objectives. Therefore, occupational health and safety should be understood as part of the broader quality-assurance framework of education. This position is consistent with the ILO (2022), which recognizes a safe and healthy working environment as a fundamental principle and right at work. However, the existence of occupational health and safety policies alone does not guarantee a safe educational workplace. Effective implementation requires

institutional commitment, adequate funding, regular inspection and maintenance of facilities, continuous staff training, emergency preparedness and mechanisms for reporting and addressing workplace hazards. Educational administrators and relevant government agencies therefore need to move from a largely reactive approach to a more preventive and participatory OHS culture. Staff should be actively involved in identifying workplace hazards and developing practical measures for reducing occupational risks.

In conclusion, strengthening occupational health and safety practices in educational institutions is an investment in both staff well-being and educational quality. A healthy and safe workforce is more capable of sustaining effective teaching, administration and support services. Educational institutions should consequently integrate OHS into institutional planning, staff development, facility management and quality-assurance practices. Such an integrated approach has the potential to create safer workplaces, promote staff well-being and ultimately improve the conditions necessary for achieving sustainable educational outcomes. The ideological saying that "a teachers' reward is in heaven" should be reconsidered and replaced with "a teachers rewards are here on earth". This change perspective will help reawaken government and private educational institutions to the reality that educational staff, occupational health, welfare, dignity, and overall wellbeing are fundamental and sacrosanct within the education sector. Educational staff dedicate their knowledge, time, energy, and expertise to developing future generations. Their contributions should therefore be recognized through fair remuneration, safe and healthy working environment, professional development, job security, and adequate welfare provisions, not merely through promises of rewards after life.

Suggestions

Based on the discussion, the following suggestions are made:

1. Educational institutions should establish comprehensive OHS policies that cover physical, biological, chemical, ergonomic and psychosocial hazards.
2. Regular workplace risk assessments should be conducted across classrooms, offices, laboratories, workshops, libraries and other institutional facilities.
3. Institutional infrastructure should be regularly inspected and maintained to minimize hazards associated with buildings, electrical systems, furniture, ventilation and sanitation.
4. Continuous OHS training should be provided for staff and should include emergency response, first aid, fire safety, infection prevention and task-specific safety procedures.
5. Psychosocial risks should receive greater institutional attention. Workload, role conflict, workplace relationships, stress and work-life balance should be incorporated into staff welfare programs.
6. Educational institutions should establish accessible health and counseling services to support the physical and psychological well-being of employees.
7. Staff should be involved in OHS decision-making. Safety committees or similar participatory structures should provide opportunities for employees to report hazards and contribute to solutions.
8. Adequate resources should be allocated to occupational health and safety. Safety programs are unlikely to succeed where institutions lack funding for maintenance, training, equipment and health services.
9. OHS compliance should be periodically monitored and evaluated. Institutions should maintain records of incidents, hazards, staff health concerns and safety interventions.
10. Government and regulatory agencies should strengthen monitoring of OHS standards in educational institutions, particularly with regard to infrastructure, laboratory safety, emergency preparedness and staff welfare.

References

Alli, B. O. (2008). *Fundamental principles of occupational health and safety* (2nd ed.) International Labour Office.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, *22*(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *22*(3), 273–285. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056

Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Sanz-Vergel, A. (2014). Burnout and work engagement: The JD-R approach. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, *1*, 389–411. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091235

Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, *31*(6), 874–900. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602

Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The Job Demands-Resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *86*(3), 499–512. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499

Erdem, C., & Kaya, M. (2024). The relationship between school and classroom climate, and academic achievement: A meta-analysis. School Psychology International, *45*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/01430343231202923.

Goetsch, D. L. (2011). Occupational safety and health for technologists, engineers, and managers (7th ed.). Pearson.

Harrison, R., Ni She, E., & Debono, D. (2022). Implementing and evaluating co-designed change in health. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 115(2), 48–51. https://doi.org/10.1177/01410768211070206

Hughes, P., & Ferrett, E. (2016). *Introduction to health and safety at work: For the NEBOSH National General Certificate in Occupational Health and Safety* (6th ed.). Routledge.

International Labour Organization. (2014). *Occupational safety and health in the education and research sector*. International Labour Office.

International Labour Organization. (2019). *Safety and health at the heart of the future of work: Building on 100 years of experience*. International Labour Office.

International Labour Organization. (2022). *Safe and healthy working environments are a fundamental principle and right at work*. International Labour Office.

International Labour Organization, & World Health Organization. (2022). *Caring for those who care: Guide for the development and implementation of occupational health and safety programmes for health workers*. International Labour Organization.

International Organization for Standardization. (2018). *ISO 45001:2018: Occupational health and safety management systems*. Requirements with guidance for use. ISO.

Jones, P., & Brown, K. (2022). The impact of occupational health on employee well-being: A global perspective. *International Journal of Workplace Safety*, 28(4), 332-347.

Kompier, M. A. J., & Cooper, C. L. (Eds.). (1999). *Preventing stress, improving productivity: European case studies in the workplace*. Routledge.

Kutsyuruba, B., Klinger, D. A., & Hussain, A. (2015). Relationships among school climate, school safety, and student achievement and well-being: A review of the literature. *Review of Education*, *3*(2), 103–135. https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3043

Lee, J., Park, H., & Wong, C. (2023). Occupational safety challenges in traditional industries: A review of contemporary hazards. *Industrial Safety Journal*, *20*(3), 251-265.

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, *15*(2), 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311

Nahrgang, J. D., Morgeson, F. P., & Hofmann, D. A. (2011). Safety at work: A meta-analytic investigation of the link between job demands, job resources, burnout, engagement, and safety outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *96*(1), 71–94. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021484

Occupational Safety and Health Administration. (n.d.). *Recommended practices for safety and health programs*. U.S. Department of Labor.

Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A critical review of the Job Demands-Resources model: Implications for improving work and health. In G. F. Bauer & O. Hämmig (Eds.), *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health* (pp. 43–68). Springer.

Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017). Still motivated to teach? A study of school context variables, stress and job satisfaction among teachers in Norway. *Social Psychology of Education*, *20*, 15–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-016-9363-9

Smith, R., Zhang, Y., & Patel, S. (2023). Global trends in occupational health and safety: Implications for employee well-being. *Occupational Health International*, *42*(2), 234-248.

Wang, M.-T., & Degol, J. L. (2016). School climate: A review of the construct, measurement, and impact on student outcomes. *Educational Psychology Review*, *28*, 315–352. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9319-1

Wang, H., Ding, H., & Kong, X. (2023). Understanding technostress and employee well-being in digital work: The roles of work exhaustion and workplace knowledge diversity. *International Journal of Manpower*, *44*(2), 334–353. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-08-2021-0480

Williams, L., & Green, A. (2024). Remote work and occupational health challenges: Emerging issues in a post-pandemic workplace. *Journal of Workplace Health*, *15*(1), 102-117.

World Health Organization. (2010). *Healthy workplaces: A model for action: For employers, workers, policymakers and practitioners*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Guidelines on mental health at work*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization, & International Labour Organization. (2021). *WHO/ILO joint estimates of the work-related burden of disease and injury, 2000–2016*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization, & International Labour Organization. (2022). Mental health at work: Policy brief. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2022). *WHO guidelines on mental health at work*. World Health Organization.

Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: Theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *65*(1), 96–102. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.65.1.96